



# Random Entity Quantization for Parameter-Efficient Compositional Knowledge Graph Representation

Jiaang Li<sup>1</sup>, Quan Wang<sup>2\*</sup>, Yi Liu<sup>3</sup>, Licheng Zhang<sup>1</sup>, Zhendong Mao<sup>1</sup>

1: University of Science and Technology of China;
2: MOE Key Laboratory of Trustworthy Distributed Computing and Service, Beijing University of Posts and Telecommunications;
3: State Key Laboratory of Communication Content Cognition



## **Traditional Knowledge Graph Embedding**

• Definition: Each entity is represented with an independent vector



#### Not scalable! Linear increase in #Parameters with #Entities

## Parameter-Efficient Compositional Knowledge Graph Representation

- Pipeline: Represent entities by composing codewords matched by each entity from predefined small-scale codebooks.
- We define this process as **Entity Quantization**.





# Codebook Construction

- Codeword Matching
- Codeword weighting

 Design Complicated strategies based on Connectivity for Entity Quantization.



- Codebook construction: 2 codebooks, one with relations, and the other with selected entities (anchors).
- Codeword matching: each entity matches corresponding codewords with rules based on connectivity. (Distance, semantics, etc.)
- Codeword weights: Optional.
   Weights are also assigned with rules based on connectivity.

# Are these strategies indispensable?

- Randomly quantizing entities won't affect the overall performance.
- We analyze and explain this phenomenon with entity distinguishability.



We prove previous strategies are not indispensable and analyze why.

- To prove Random entity quantization won't affect overall performance.
   Experiments are conducted by random:
  - codeword matching,
  - codeword weights,
  - codebook construction.



## • We design random variants by random:

# • codeword matching,

- codeword weights,
- codebook construction.



	FB15k-237		WN	V18RR
	MRR	Hits@10	MRR	Hits@10
EARL	0.310	0.501	0.440	0.527
+RSR	0.306	0.500	0.439	0.530
+RSA	0.311	0.506	0.438	0.529
+RSR+RSA	0.308	0.502	0.442	0.536
NodePiece	0.256	0.420	0.403	0.515
+RSR	0.254	0.417	0.403	0.516
+RSA	0.258	0.423	0.419	0.518
+RSR+RSA	0.263	0.425	0.425	0.522

	FB15k-237		WN	N18RR
	MRR	Hits@10	MRR	Hits@10
EARL	0.310	0.501	0.440	0.527
w/o anc	0.301	0.488	0.409	0.498
w/o anc+RSR	0.312	0.501	0.417	0.516
w/o rel	0.309	0.501	0.432	0.520
w/o rel+RSA	0.311	0.500	0.443	0.539
NodePiece	0.256	0.420	0.403	0.515
w/o anc	0.204	0.355	0.011	0.019
w/o anc+RSR	0.244	0.409	0.009	0.014
w/o rel	0.258	0.425	0.266	0.465
w/o rel+RSA	0.256	0.428	0.411	0.517

- RSR: Randomly Selected Relations
- RSA: Randomly Selected Anchors

# Random Codeword Matching is proven to be effective

## • We design random variants by random:

- codeword matching,
- codeword weights,
- codebook construction.



	FB15k-237			WN18RR		
	MRR	Hits@10	-	MRR	Hits@10	
EARL	0.310	0.501		0.440	0.527	
+RW	0.308	0.498		0.442	0.531	
+EW	0.308	0.500		0.437	0.528	

- RW: Random Codeword Weights
- EW: Equal Codeword Weights

## Random Codeword Weights is proven to be effective

# • We design random variants by random:

- codeword matching,
- codeword weights,
- codebook construction.



# Randomly Constructed Codebook is proven to be effective

## • We have randomly conducted:

- codeword matching,
- codeword weights,
- codebook construction.



# Counter-intuitively, random Entity Quantization is proven to be effective.

But why?

### Analysis: Why Random Entity Quantization Works?

- We compute the entropy of entity codes
- Entity codes have higher entropy with random quantization

$$H(X) = -\sum_{i=1,\dots,2^{l}} P(x_{i}) \cdot \log_{2} P(x_{i}),$$
  

$$P(x_{i}) = \begin{cases} \frac{f_{i}}{|\mathcal{E}|} & \text{if } i = 1,\dots,v, \\ 0 & \text{if } i = v+1,\dots,2^{l}. \end{cases}$$
NodePiece EARL Random  
FB15k-237 15.26 14.50 15.27  
WN18RR 15.94 8.20 16.75

# Better distinguishability at the code level!

## Analysis: Why Random Entity Quantization Works?

- We compute the Jaccard distance between each entity code and its knearest neighbors
- Entities are more **distinct** by **codewords** with **random quantization**

$$d_J(\mathbf{c}_i, \mathbf{c}_j) = \frac{|W_i \cup W_j| - |W_i \cap W_j|}{|W_i \cup W_j|},$$
$$\mathcal{J}_k = \frac{1}{|\mathcal{E}| \times k} \sum_{e_i \in \mathcal{E}} \sum_{e_j \in kNN(e_i)} d_J(\mathbf{c}_i, \mathbf{c}_j)$$



## Better distinguishability at the codeword level!

## Analysis: Why Random Entity Quantization Works?

 Quantization strategies with higher entity distinguishability tend to perform better



Control the entity code entropy and record results

# **Entity distinguishability** is the **key** of **quantization strategies**.

- ✓ The first work that defines entity quantization in parameter-efficient compositional KG representations;
- ✓ A new approach based on randomness is proposed for quantizing entities effectively and efficiently;
- ✓ Analyses are made to explain why random entity quantization works comparatively or even better;

#### Random Entity Quantization for Parameter-Efficient Compositional KGR

# Thank you !

Code to reproduce our results is available at: https://github.com/JiaangL/RandomQuantization